

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,543	SHIONO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ahmed M. Farah.

(3) _____.

(2) Wendi L. Weinstein (Reg. No. 34,456).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2007

Time: 4:17 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 22.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor informality in the claim language was discussed and correction was agreed. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make the agreed change by Examiner's Amendment (EA). See the attached EA.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)